

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1210 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
JIVABHAI RATNABHAI RABARI

Versus

RANPUR UGAMANVAS GROUP GRAM PANCHAYAT

-----  
Appearance:

MR NK MAJMUDAR for Petitioners

None present for Respondent

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 20/01/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. Sick note was there for the learned counsel for the respondent, but I do not find any merits in this civil revision application, therefore, adjournment in this matter is not necessary.

#. Under the impugned order the trial court rejected the application filed by the plaintiffs - petitioners for impleading the Police Inspector, Deesa as party to the suit. The learned trial court has found that the Police Inspector, Deesa is neither necessary nor proper party to the suit.

#. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, I am satisfied that this person does appear to be neither necessary nor proper party to the suit. No relief is sought against this person in the suit. Merely because the petitioners have apprehension that with the help of this person the respondent may dispossess them from suit property is hardly any ground to justify his impleadment in the suit. This civil revision application fails and the same is dismissed. Rule discharged. Interim relief, if any, granted stands vacated. No order as to costs.

(S.K.Keshote,J.)  
(pathan)